



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/581,459	07/27/2000	STEPHAN SCHMITZ	10191/1452	7359
26646	7590 01/26/2006		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP			LIPMAN, JACOB	
ONE BROA NEW YORK	<del></del>		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/581,459	SCHMITZ ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jacob Lipman	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	i.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  C (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 December 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,11 and 14-18 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,11 and 14-18 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/05.	4)				

Application/Control Number: 09/581,459 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 22 December 205 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3) because it does not include a concise explanation of the relevance, as it is presently understood by the individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) most knowledgeable about the content of the information, of each patent listed that is not in the English language. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The English abstract did not provide sufficient detail for the examiner to consider the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10, 11, and 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Abraham et al., US Patent number 5,745,576 in view of Kousa, US Patent number 4,797,672.

With regard to claim 10, Abraham discloses a base station (controller) including a computer (column 12 lines 13-47) that transmits a prompt (column 9 lines 56-61, column 10 lines 56-59), and a remote control device (terminal, column 1 lines 13-15) which stores the prompt (column 9 lines 21-24, column 10 lines 60-62), and transmits a

Art Unit: 2134

code word as a reply (column 9 lines 24-30, column 10 lines 63-64) that is partially a function of the prompt (column 9 lines 24-26, column 10 lines 64-65), the base station receives the reply and compares it with the required reply (column 10 lines 66-67), and grants access accordingly (column 11 lines 1-3). Abraham does not disclose that an initial stored prompt from a successful prompt/reply cycle is used to encrypt the authorization information. Kousa discloses that often an encrypted exchange will be preceded by a key exchange to create a session key to use in further authentications (column 2 lines 3-10). It is further added that the examiner takes official notice that key exchange to begin a session is well known in the art. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use key exchange preceding Abraham to prevent eavesdropping. With regard to the base computer erasing the session key after a number of failed attempts. Abraham does not mention abandoning the process after a specific number of failed attempts. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to check failed attempts to connect, and to abandon an access process after a predetermined number of failures. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use this check in Abraham's system to avoid eternal loops, and to increase security against hacking. Support for this can be seen in See et al., USPN 6,070,243. See discloses terminating a session with a user after a predetermined number of failed login attempts (column 11 lines 15-38). Further Schneier discloses that session keys are erased when a session is ended (page 180, paragraph beginning "some").

Application/Control Number: 09/581,459 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claim 11, Abraham discloses the response is a function of the terminal's serial number (column 9 lines 24-28).

With regard to claim 12, Abraham discloses the challenge is stored in the base system (column 9 lines 56-59).

With regard to claim 14, Abraham discloses the reply includes a transaction count (column 9 lines 24-26), which is tracked (column 10 lines 22-24).

With regard to claim 15, Abraham discloses the count is changed (column 31-35).

With regard to claim 16, Abraham discloses the counter code has been previously transmitted to the base station (column 9 lines 42-46).

With regard to claim 17, Abraham discloses the counter code is encrypted (column 9 lines 24-26).

With regard to claim 18, Abraham discloses the system of claim 10, as outlined above, but does not mention wireless communication or frequencies. The examiner takes official notice that it is well known in the art to have different wireless device working on different frequencies. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to us Abraham's system in a wireless environment with different frequencies to avoid interference and allow mobility.

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 09/581,459 Page 5

Art Unit: 2134

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7 AM-3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/581,459

Art Unit: 2134

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

Art Unit 2135